

# Post-Transplantation Cyclophosphamide after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation: Results of the Prospective Randomized HOVON-96 Trial in Recipients of Matched Related and Unrelated Donors

---

*Cornelis N. De Jong, MD<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Ellen Meijer, MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Katerina Bakunina<sup>4\*</sup>, Erfan Nur, MD, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Marinus van Marwijk Kooij, MD, PhD<sup>6\*</sup>, Marco R. de Groot, MD, PhD<sup>7\*</sup>, Michel van Gelder, MD, PhD<sup>8\*</sup>, Johan A. Maertens, MD, PhD<sup>9\*</sup>, Jurgen H.E. Kuball, MD, PhD<sup>10</sup>, Dries Deeren, MD, PhD<sup>11</sup>, Bronno van der Holt, PhD<sup>12\*</sup>, Jan J Cornelissen, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup> and **Annoek E.C. Broers, MD, PhD<sup>1\*</sup>***

*<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, Netherlands*

*<sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Gelderse Vallei Hospital, Ede, Netherlands*

*<sup>3</sup>Department of Hematology, Amsterdam UMC, location VU, Amsterdam, Netherlands*

*<sup>4</sup>HOVON Data Center, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands*

*<sup>5</sup>Department of Hematology, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands*

*<sup>6</sup>Department of Hematology, Isala Clinics, Zwolle, Netherlands*

*<sup>7</sup>University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands*

*<sup>8</sup>Department of Hematology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, Netherlands*

*<sup>9</sup>University Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*

*<sup>10</sup>Department of Hematology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands*

*<sup>11</sup>Algemeen Ziekenhuis Delta, Roeselare, Belgium*

*<sup>12</sup>Department of Hematology, HOVON Data Center, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, Netherlands*

## **Background:**

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (alloHSCT) has been established as a powerful treatment modality for patients with hematological malignancies. The graft-versus-leukemia effect, however, is strongly associated with the occurrence of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) and subsequent transplant-related mortality (TRM). Several strategies are applied in order to prevent GVHD following T-cell replete alloHSCT including conventional immunosuppression (CIS) with post-transplant administration of cyclosporine A (CyA) and mycophenolic acid (MA), or post-transplant cyclophosphamide (PT-Cy) either or not combined with CIS. Studies in haplo- and HLA matched donor transplantation have shown that PT-Cy is well tolerated and associated with low rates of severe GVHD and TRM. However, evidence from randomized clinical trials on the efficacy of PT-Cy as compared to CIS in the setting of HLA matched alloHSCT is scarce.

**Aims:**

In the present prospective randomized, multicenter, phase III trial we set out to compare a PT-Cy based immunosuppressive regimen with CIS and address the question whether PT-Cy would be associated with improved GVHD-free/relapse-free survival (GRFS). Endpoints included time to acute and chronic GVHD, progression free survival (PFS), GRFS, overall survival (OS), and adverse events.

**Methods:**

Hematological patients (pts) with a matched related donor or at least an 8 out of 8 matched unrelated donor were included. Pts randomized for the CIS regimen received CyA twice daily until day +120 followed by tapering until day +180 and MA 16 mg/kg twice daily with a maximum dose of 2160 mg a day until day 84 post-transplant. Pts randomized for PT-Cy received 50 mg/kg of cyclophosphamide on day +3 and +4 combined with CyA from day +5 until day +70.

**Results:**

A total of 160 pts was randomized 1:2 between CIS and PT-Cy, of whom 94% proceeded to transplant (52 versus 99 pts). Median age was 58 years (range: 20–70), 66% were male. Two pts received myeloablative conditioning. The donor type was matched related in 31% and matched unrelated in 69% of pts. Transplants were derived from peripheral blood in 97% of pts and consisted of median  $6.14 \times 10^6$ /kg CD34+ cells/kg (range: 1.36–19.4) and median  $230 \times 10^6$ /kg CD3+ T cells (range: 0–519). Baseline patient and transplantation characteristics were equally distributed between the two treatment arms. The cumulative incidence (CI) at six months of grade II–IV acute GVHD was 48% in recipients of CIS versus 32% following PT-Cy (SHR 0.52, 95%CI 0.31–0.87,  $p=0.014$ ), and grade III–IV 12% versus 6%. In recipients of PT-Cy, acute GVHD was generally limited to stage 1 skin involvement, whereas more severe skin involvement and bowel involvement were observed following CIS. The two-year CI of chronic extensive GVHD was 50% in recipients of CIS versus 19% following PT-Cy (SHR 0.38, 95%CI 0.21–0.67,  $p=0.001$ ). The three-year estimate of PFS was 60% (44%–73%) and 58% (46%–67%). The three-year CI of progression/relapse was 26% in the CIS arm versus 32% in the PT-Cy arm. The three-year estimate of OS was 69% (53%–80%) and 63% (52%–73%). The one-year estimate (95% confidence interval) of GRFS was 22% (12%–34%) and 45% (35%–55%), respectively.

**Conclusion:**

Use of high-dose PT-Cy results in a significant reduction in severe acute and chronic GVHD without affecting relapse, thereby resulting in improved GRFS. Hence, a more intensified

immunosuppression regimen with PT-Cy might be preferred as GVHD prophylaxis in the setting of RIC alloHSCT.

