

(PS1722) POSITRON EMISSION TOMOGRAPHY WITH COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY AND MINIMAL RESIDUAL DISEASE FOR EFFICACY ASSESSMENT IN TRANSPLANT-INELIGIBLE NEWLY DIAGNOSED MYELOMA PATIENTS: IMROZ ANALYSIS

Topic: 14. Myeloma and other monoclonal gammopathies – Clinical

Authors: Elena Zamagni^{*1}, Thierry Facon², Meletios A. Dimopoulos³, Xavier Leleu⁴, Meral Beksac^{5, 6}, Ludek Pour⁷, Roman Hájek⁸, Zhuogang Liu⁹, Jiri Minarik¹⁰, Philippe Moreau¹¹, Joanna Romejko-Jarosińska¹², Ivan Špička¹³, Thomas Martin¹⁴, Iugui qiu¹⁵, Christos Sachpekidis¹⁶, Ercem Kudas¹⁷, Liang Zhao¹⁸, Robert Z Orlowski¹⁹, Hartmut Goldschmidt²⁰

1. *Bologna University School of Medicine, Seragnoli Institute of Hematology, Bologna, Italy;*
2. *University of Lille and French Academy of Medicine, Department of Haematology, Paris, France;*
3. *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Department of Clinical Therapeutics, Athens, Greece;*
4. *CHU and CIC Inserm 1402, Service d'Hématologie et Thérapie Cellulaire, Poitiers Cedex, France;*
5. *Ankara University, Department of Hematology, Ankara, Türkiye;*
6. *Istinye University Ankara Liv Hospital, Ankara, Türkiye;*
7. *University Hospital Brno, Brno, Department of Internal Medicine, Hematology and Oncology, Czechia;*
8. *University of Ostrava, Department of Hemato-Oncology, University Hospital Ostrava and Faculty of Medicine, Ostrava, Czechia;*
9. *Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University (Huaxiang Br), Shenyang, China;*
10. *Palacky University Olomouc and University Hospital Olomouc, Department of Hemato-Oncology, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Olomouc, Czechia;*
11. *University Hospital HôtelDieu, Department of Hematology, Nantes, France;*
12. *Marie Skłodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Department of Lymphoid Malignancies, Warszawa, Poland;*
13. *Charles University and General Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czechia;*
14. *University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, United States of America;*
15. *Institute of Hematology and Blood Diseases Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Department of Lymphoma and Myeloma, Tianjin, China;*
16. *German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Clinical Cooperation Unit Nuclear Medicine, Heidelberg, Germany;*
17. *Sanofi R&D, Vitry-sur-Seine, France;*
18. *Sanofi Oncology, Cambridge, United States of America;*
19. *The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Lymphoma and Myeloma, Houston, United States of America;*
20. *University of Heidelberg, Department of Internal Medicine V, Heidelberg, Germany;*

Abstract

Background:

Minimal residual disease (MRD) is a measure of response in the bone marrow but is limited by patchy infiltration of bone marrow plasma cells and lack of plasmacytoma assessment. Imaging-based MRD assessment, which is non-invasive, such as positron emission tomography with computed tomography (PET/CT), may overcome these limitations, and distinguish metabolically active MM from non-active. Isatuximab (Isa) is an anti-CD38 monoclonal

antibody approved in combination with bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone (VRd) in transplant-ineligible newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (Ti NDMM) patients based on the Phase 3 IMROZ study.

Aims:

Here, we present an analysis of IMROZ (NCT03319667), investigating PET/CT negativity (–) with MRD– in front line efficacy assessment.

Methods:

In IMROZ, patients were randomized 3:2 to receive Isa-VRd or VRd as initiation, then Isa-Rd or Rd as maintenance. Bone marrow MRD was assessed by next generation sequencing at 10^{-5} sensitivity at baseline (BL), then in case of complete response (CR) or very good partial response at end of initiation, and every 6 months for 2 years, then once a year until disease progression. PET/CT scans were assessed by central review and performed at BL, then yearly until disease progression; if positive for soft tissue plasmacytoma, repeated at time of CR and/or end of induction, then following time points for MRD assessment. PET/CT positivity (+) was defined as FDG 5PS Score ≥ 4 , and PET/CT– as FDG 5PS ≤ 3 .

Results:

Across the global and China populations, 244 Isa-VRd and 162 VRd patients had PET/CT at BL, of which 153 (62.7%) and 101 (62.3%) were PET/CT+, respectively. Of these, 121 (41.6%) and 83 (43.0%) had a post-BL PET/CT assessment. 155 patients presented with plasmacytoma at BL (95 Isa-VRd, 60 VRd), with comparable BL characteristics to the global population. Among PET+ patients at BL, the double negativity rate (PET/CT FDG 5PS score ≤ 3 + MRD–) was significantly higher in Isa-VRd patients than VRd (odds ratio [OR] 1.54; 95% CI 1.04-2.29; $p=0.0155$), and similarly for double negativity + \geq CR (OR 1.60; 95% CI 1.07-2.38; $p=0.0108$). As shown in the Table, more Isa-VRd than VRd patients with plasmacytoma reached PET/CT 5PS ≤ 3 and MRD–, and PET/CT 5PS ≤ 3 with MRD– + \geq CR. Progression-free survival (PFS) in patients PET/CT+ at BL was in favor of the Isa-VRd arm (median PFS [mPFS] not reached [NR; 95% CI 59.4-NR]) vs VRd (mPFS 49.1 [95% CI 39.1 -NR]) (hazard ratio [HR] 0.58; 95% CI 0.39-0.88; $p=0.6303$), and HR was comparable to the intent to treat population. PFS in patients with plasmacytoma at BL was similar to the global population (HR 0.685; 95% CI 0.40-1.18; $p=0.5332$).

Double negativity in patients with plasmacytoma		
	Isa-VRd (n=77)	VRd (n=52)
PET/CT 5PS score ≤ 3 and MRD–, %	45.5	34.6
OR (95% CI), p	1.57 (0.76-3.26), 0.1107	
PET/CT 5PS score ≤ 3 and MRD– + \geq CR, %	44.2	32.7
OR (95% CI), p	1.63 (0.78-3.39), 0.0966	

Summary/Conclusion:

This analysis of IMROZ shows the prognostic value of BL PET/CT findings. More Isa-VRd patients reached double negativity than VRd, including patients with plasmacytomas. This translated to a better PFS in patients treated with Isa-VRd. Funding: Sanofi.